UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,531	03/15/2004 Samuel Achilefu		1448.2:H US (073979.40)	2309
27805 THOMPSON H	7590 06/10/200 IINE L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Prop	perty Group	JONES, DAMERON LEVEST		
P.O. BOX 8801 DAYTON, OH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	n No	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary						
		10/800,53		ACHILEFU ET AL		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
	T	D L. Jones		1618		
۔ Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI (six) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by strength of the provision of t	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no even n. eriod will apply and wi tatute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>2</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 25 Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice undition	This action is nowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
	on of Claims	io. Ex parto qu	ay,e, 1000 0.21 11, 10			
5)	Claim(s) 32-35 and 45-51 is/are pending in (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with (claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 32-35 and 45-51 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and (a) are specification is objected to by the Example of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	nd/or election re niner. accepted or b)	nsideration. equirement.  objected to by the B			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
•	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/800,531 Page 2

Art Unit: 1618

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

1. The Examiner acknowledges receipt of the amendment filed 2/26/09 wherein claims 1-31 and 36-44 are canceled; claim 32 was amended; and claim 51 was added.

**Note:** Claims 32-35 and 45-51 are pending.

### **RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S AMENDMENT/ARGUMENTS**

2. The Applicant's arguments and/or amendment filed 2/26/09 to the rejection of the claims made by the Examiner under 35 USC 103 and/or 112 have been fully considered and deemed persuasive-in-part for the reasons set forth below.

# 112 First Paragraph Rejection (Scope of Enablement)

The rejection of claims 32-35, 45-50, and newly added claim 51 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, because the specification while being enable for some cyanine dyes, does not reasonably provide enablement for all cyanine or indocyanine dyes is MAINTAINED for reasons of record in the office action mailed 1/7/09 and those set forth below.

In summary, Applicant discloses the dictionary definition (i.e., as defined by Hawley's Chemical Dictionary) of cyanine and asserts that while the specification disclose specific cyanine and indocyanine dyes, a person of ordinary skill in the art appreciates that Formulae 2 and 4 in the specification are directed to indocyanine dyes. Hence, Applicant concludes that the rejection has been overcome by the amending of the claim.

Applicant's arguments are non-persuasive for reasons of record in the office action mailed 1/7/09 and those below. According to the Wikipedia encyclopedia

(Cyanine - Retrieved from Wikipedia (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cyanine), June 2009, four pages, see attachment), for example, the term 'cyanine' is a non-systematic name of a synthetic dye family belonging to the polymethine group. There are three types of cyanines (a) open chain cyanine,  $R_2N^+=CH[CH=CH]_n-NR_2$ ; (b) hemicyanines (structures contain one arvl group on one end of the structure), Arvl=N<sup>+</sup>=CH[CH=CH]<sub>n</sub>-NR<sub>2</sub>; and closed chain cyanines (structures contain two aryl groups, one on each end of the structure), Aryl=N<sup>+</sup>=CH[CH=CH]<sub>n</sub>-N=Aryl. As a result, the term 'cyanine', for example, encompasses structural distinct groups of compounds. Furthermore, the cyanines formula set forth in Applicant's disclosure involve closed chain cyanines which are not structurally the same as open chain and hemicyanines. As a result, Applicant is not entitled to all cyanines and indocyanines. Also, it is noted that in the background of the instant invention, Applicant discloses attempts have been made to incorporate various heteroatoms and cyclic moieties into the chain of cyanine and indocyanine dye systems which results in various differences between the dyes (see PG Pub 2004/0241095, page 1, paragraphs [0005] - [0008]). Hence, Applicant concluded that there is a need for dyes that do not aggregate in solution and addressed the 'problem' using *cyanine* and indocyanine dyes with specific general formulae (the dyes involve closed chain cyanines) in combination with a biocompatible organic solvent. Therefore, the scope of enablement rejection is deemed proper.

# 112 Second Paragraph Rejections

The rejection of claims 32-35, 45-50, and newly added claim 51 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and

distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention is MAINTAINED for reasons of record in the office action mailed 1/7/09 and those set forth below.

In summary, Applicant makes the same assertions as set forth in the 112, first paragraph, rejection section above.

Applicant's arguments are non-persuasive, because based on what is known in the art about how cyanine and indocyanine dyes vary structurally, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to predict, without some undue experimentation, which cyanine and indocyanine species are compatible with the instant invention. Hence, the rejection is deemed proper because the terms are vague and indefinite as it relates to which species are encompassed by the instant invention.

## 103 Rejection

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of claims 32-35 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Licha et al (US Patent No. 6,083,485) is MAINTAINED for reasons of record in the office action mailed 1/7/09 and those set forth below.

Applicant's asserts that the rejection should be withdrawn because while Licha et al renders obvious a composition comprising a cyanine dye and cyclodextrin, the cyclodextrin is added for a purpose different from that of the instant invention.

Specifically, Applicant asserts that cyclodextrin is added to the invention of Licha et al to improve stability, not enhance fluorescence.

Page 5

Applicant's argument is non-persuasive because a skilled artisan would recognize that if Applicant and the prior art disclose the same composition, then the compositions would inherently behave the same. As a result, the prior art's composition, like Applicant's would enhance fluorescence. Furthermore, since the prior art specifically discloses that cyclodextrin is a preferred compound to be added to the dye, the skilled artisan would be motivated to incorporate it into the dye mixture. Thus, the rejection is deemed proper.

**Note**: In regards to Applicant's question as to whether or not claims 32-34 are rejected under Licha et al since only claim 35 discloses that the solvent is cyclodextrin, it should be noted that claim 35 depends on independent claim 32. Thus, since claim 32 only requires that a dye (i.e., cyanine) in combination with a biocompatible solvent be present, then, 'yes' the prior art reads on independent claim 32. Likewise, since claims 33 and 34 are limited to a particular solvent, then 'yes', those claims read on the solvent being cyclodextrin and are rejected under Licha et al. Hence, claims 32-35 are rejected under Licha et al which render obvious a dye composition comprising a cyanine dye and cyclodextrin.

### **COMMENTS/NOTES**

3. It is duly noted that prior art has not been cited over all of Applicant's claims. Specifically, all of the organic solvents. Thus, as stated previously, the specific solvents in combination with the specific cyanine and indocyanine dyes is not render obvious by the prior art. Hence, Applicant may want to consider amending independent claim 32 to

include the specific solvents of newly added claim 51 in combination with the cyanine/indocyanine dyes Applicant is enabled for.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D L. Jones whose telephone number is (571)272-0617. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:45 a.m. - 3:15 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/800,531 Page 7

Art Unit: 1618

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D L. Jones/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1618

June 4, 2009